Appendix 4.1: Final Pilot Version of the Questionnaire CONFIDENTIAL

I would be very grateful if you would take the time to complete this questionnaire. All data collected will be used for research purposes only and will not be disclosed to any third parties. Please do **not** identify yourself by writing your name, etc. on this paper. When you have completed the questionnaire, please return it immediately to: Robert Davison, Dept of Information Systems. Thank you for your help.

Apart from questions in Section 1, please relate all your answers to the meeting that you have just participated in.

SECTION 1:							
1. How many meetir	ngs that	comp	orise at le	east 5 r	member	s do yo	ou have this week?(1)
2. Typically what per	rcentag	e of y	our work	king tim	e do yo	u spen	d in meetings per week? (2)
□ 0-20% □ 21-4	40%	- 4	1-60%	- 61	I-80%	□ 81	-100%
3. To what extent do	you lik	e me	etings? ((3)			
Strongly like			0			Stro	ngly dislike
4. How important do	you ra	te me	etings to	be? (4	.)		
Very Important			0			Not	at all important
5. How frequently do	you fe	el tha	t meetin	gs are	a waste	of you	r time? (5)
Always			0			Nev	er
	↓ 5a. W	/hy?_					
6. Would you descri	be your	self a	s:				
shy	Agree	9 🗆					Disagree (6)
apprehensive	Agree						Disagree (7)
assertive	Agree						Disagree (8)
confident	Agree						Disagree (9)
7. Do you think that	voting p	oromo	tes cons	sensus	or confli	ict? (10))
Consensus of		Confli	ict 🗅	both		othe	r

	Do you think that a restriction on a mo			struc	ture hel	ps to fo	cus a meeti	ng or acts a	s a
	Focus	Re	strict i		both t	ם	other 🗅		
SE	CTION 2:								
9. [Did you attend this	_	•	k one)	: (12)				
	an elected re	•				_			
	a nominated an ex-officio	•	alive						
	another kind		entative	خ					
	an observer	or roprooc	, itali v						
	other:								
				_					
10.	Do you see the f		this m	eeting			olease tick a	II that apply): (13-18)
	decision mak	ing			Others	s??			
	discussion								
	consensus b	•							
	information g	_							
	information d	isseminat	ion						
11.	To what extent w	vas the ag	enda d	of this	meeting	g follow	ed? (19)		
	Completely	0 0	1		•		Not at all		
12.	Do you agree that	at the lead	ler of t	he me	eting ha	ad a hid	den agenda	? (20)	
	Strongly Agree	0 0	1				Strongly Di	isagree	
	Did voting take pa. If YES, was it s			ing? (2	21)	□ Yes □ Yes		□ Both	
14.	Was this meeting	g governe	d by co	onsens	sus or c	onflict?	(23)		
	Consensus	C	Conflict	: -	both t		other 🗅		
15.	Was jargon ofter	n used in t	he me	eting?	(24)	Yes□	No □		
	15a. If YES, to w Very Confused	hat exten		you co □	onfused	by the	jargon? (25) Not at all C	•	
16.	To what extent w	vould you	say tha	at this	meeting	g was re	esult oriente	d? (26, Eff1))
	Strongly Result (Oriented =					Weakly Re	sult Oriente	d

17.	17. With regard to your own participation in the meeting, please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements:								
	a) You feel that y	ou pla	ved a	use	ful role	e. (27)			
	Strongly Agree				<i></i>			Strongly Disagree	
	b) The language	of the	meeti	ing p	revent	ted you	u fron	m participating. (28, Com1)	
	Strongly Agree				-			Strongly Disagree	
	c) You found it ha	ard to i	under	stan	d othe	r grou	o mei	mbers when they talked. (29, Com	າ2)
	Strongly Agree							Strongly Disagree	ĺ
	d) You experienc	ed pro	blems	s ext	oressin	na voui	rself.	(30. Com3)	
	Strongly Agree	· ·		-				Strongly Disagree	
	e) You felt relucta	ant to I	out foi	rwar	d vour	own i	deas.	(31. Com4)	
	Strongly Agree	<u> </u>						Strongly Disagree	
	f) You experience to contradict othe	•	-		er to c	onforn	n to a	a particular viewpoint or not	
	Strongly Agree			Ţ				Strongly Disagree	
	g) Other meeting Strongly Agree	memb	oers p □		attentio =	on to y □	ou w	hen you talked. (33) Strongly Disagree	
18.	With regard to all the meeting in ter						le, ho	ow would you rate the discussions in	n
18.	the meeting in terview.	rms of	the fo			ales?	le, ho □	ow would you rate the discussions in Totally meaningless	n
18.	Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate	rms of	the fo	ollow	ing sca	ales? □		·	n
18.	Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and ope	rms of	the fo	ollow	ing sca	ales?		Totally meaningless	n
18.	Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2)	rms of en	the fo	ollow	ing sca	ales?	0	Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate	n
18.	Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and ope (36, Diss3)	rms of en tive	the fo	ollow	ing sca	ales?		Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Totally closed/restricted	n
	the meeting in ter Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and ope (36, Diss3) Creative/imaginal approaches used (37, Diss4)	rms of en tive	the fo	ollow	ing sca	ales?		Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Totally closed/restricted Familiar/unimaginative	n
	the meeting in ter Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and ope (36, Diss3) Creative/imaginal approaches used (37, Diss4)	en tive I	the fo	ollow	u agre	ee with	the f	Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Totally closed/restricted Familiar/unimaginative approaches used following statements:	n
	Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and ope (36, Diss3) Creative/imaginar approaches used (37, Diss4) Please indicate to	en tive I	the fo	ollow	u agre	ee with	the f	Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Totally closed/restricted Familiar/unimaginative approaches used following statements:	n
	the meeting in term. Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and ope (36, Diss3) Creative/imaginary approaches used (37, Diss4) Please indicate to a) Other member Strongly Agree b) Other member of the control of t	en tive I o what	exter	ollow	u agre	e with	the f	Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Totally closed/restricted Familiar/unimaginative approaches used following statements: the meeting. (38)	n
	Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and ope (36, Diss3) Creative/imaginal approaches used (37, Diss4) Please indicate to a) Other member Strongly Agree	en tive I o what	exter	ollow	u agre	e with	the f	Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Totally closed/restricted Familiar/unimaginative approaches used following statements: the meeting. (38) Strongly Disagree	n
	the meeting in terms the meeting in terms the Very meaningful (34, Diss1) Very appropriate (35, Diss2) Very free and oper (36, Diss3) Creative/imaginary approaches used (37, Diss4) Please indicate to a) Other member Strongly Agree b) Other member (39, Team1)	en tive I o what	exter	ollow	u agre	ee with	the f	Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Totally closed/restricted Familiar/unimaginative approaches used following statements: the meeting. (38) Strongly Disagree stions when asked. Strongly Disagree	n

201	d) Members had ively in and fully ເ						hey needed so as to participate					
aci	Strongly Agree				<i>g.</i> (+1, 1		Strongly Disagree					
	g.,g											
20.	Please indicate t	o what	extent y	ou agre	ee with	the follo	owing statements:					
	a) The time sper	nt in the	meetin	g was e	efficientl	y used.	(42, Eff2)					
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree					
	b) Issues raised	in the m	neeting	were di	scusse	d thorou	ughly. (43, Eff3)					
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree					
	c) Some informa of date. (44)	tion pre	sented	to the n	neeting	was ac	tually false, untrue or out-					
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree					
	d) The quality of had had the opp						ved if meeting members ously. (45)					
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree					
	e) Some group n aggressive gestu						g. by talking loudly, using					
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree					
	f) Some group m force issues on t						tatus or power so as to					
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree					
	g) You felt inhibit behaviour of other			•			n because of the					
	Strongly Agree				o o		Strongly Disagree					
21.	What percentage based items? (49)		eting tin	ne do yo	ou think	was sp	pent on the discussion of agenda-					
	□ 0-20% □ 21-4	10%	□ 41-0	60%	□ 61-8	30%	□ 81-100%					
22.							it develop relatively eeting process? (50)					
	□ Led by someor	ne in au	thority		□ Deve	eloped a	autonomously					
	23. Did ex-officio/non-elected members put forward proposals? (51) Page No N/A Pa											
	Accepted Both Both Both Both Both Both Both Both											

25. How would you rate your overall level of satisfaction with this meeting? (55, CV)									
Very Satisfied	D							Very Dissatisfied	

When you have completed the questionnaire, please return it to: Robert Davison, Dept of Information Systems, City University of Hong Kong, (788-7534).

Appendix 4.2: Questionnaire Distributed to a Large University Population

CONFIDENTIAL

Please can you answer the following questions. For questions 5-12, please relate your answers to any meeting that involved at least 5 members. Please tick the boxes (\Box) as appropriate.

Part One: A few questions about you, your personal characteristics and your attitudes towards meetings in general.

	1 a. Are you an Academic/Administrative/Technical/General Staff member or a Research Student or Research Associate (Please circle as appropriate). (1)										
b. <i>i</i>	Are you	Male		Female	e 🗅	? (2)					
2. '	Would you	describ	e yours	elf as:							
shy	У		Agree						Disagree (3)		
ap	prehensive		Agree						Disagree (4)		
ass	sertive		Agree						Disagree (5)		
COI	nfident		Agree						Disagree (6)		
3а.	. How man	y meeti	ngs that	compri	se at	least 5	people	do you	have this week?	(7)	
b.	b. Typically what percentage of your working time do you spend in meetings per week? (8)										
	□ 0-20% □ 21-40% □ 41-60% □ 61-80% □ 81-100%										
4a	. To what e	xtent d	o you lik	ke meet	ings?	(9)					
	Strongly li	ke		0				Stro	ngly dislike		
b	. How impo	rtant d	o you ra	te meet	ings t	to be? (1	10)				
	Very Impo	ortant		-				Not a	at all important		
Pa	rt Two: So attended,	-			-	_	involv	ing at I	east five people	which you	
5. \	Was this a: (Please ci			•					other 1)	_ meeting?	
6.	6. How many people were in this meeting? 5-10 □; 11-15 □; 16-20 □; 21-25 □; 26+ □ (How many?) (12)										
7.	7. When did this meeting take place? Within the last week □; 2 weeks □; 1 month □; 2 months □; 3 months □; 6 months □; More than 6 months ago □ (13)										
8.	. With regard to your own participation in the meeting, please indicate to what extent you										

agree with the following statements:

	a) You feel that y	ou play	ed a us	eful rol	e. (14, (CR)		
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
	b) The language	of the n	neetina	preven	ted vou	from p	articipating. (15, C1)	
	Strongly Agree	<u> </u>					Strongly Disagree	
	•						ers when they talked. (16, C2)	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
	d) You experienc	ed prob	olems e	xpressii	ng your	s <i>elf.</i> (17	7, C3)	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
	e) You felt relucta	ant to p	ut forwa	ard your	own ia	leas. (18	•	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
	f) You experience to contradict othe			ther to d	conform	to a pa	articular viewpoint or not	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
9. '	With regard to all the meeting in ter		_			e, how	would you rate the discussions	ir
	Very meaningful		0 0	0 0	-	/ meani	• ,	
	Very appropriate						opriate (21, D2)	
	Very free and ope				-		d/restricted (22, D3)	
	Creative/imaginal approaches used					ar/unim aches u	aginative (23, D4) ised	
10.	To what extent w	ould yo	u say th	nat this	meeting	g was re	esult oriented? (24, E1)	
	Strongly Result C	riented					Weakly Result Oriented	
11.	Please indicate to	o what	extent y	ou agre	ee with	the follo	owing statements:	
	a) Other member	s appe	ared wil	lling to a	answer	questio	ns when asked. (25, T1)	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
	b) Members work	ed toge	ether as	a tean	n. (26, T	2)		
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
act	c) Members had tively in and fully u						hey needed so as to participat	е
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
	d) The time spen	t in the	meeting	g was e	fficientl	y used.	(28, E2)	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	
	e) Issues raised i	n the m	neeting	were di	scusse	d thorou	ughly. (29, E3)	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree	

	 Some group n aggressive gesti 				, 0	. by talking loudly, using	
	Strongly Agree	-	•		, - · ,	Strongly Disagree	
	g) Some group r force issues on t					status or power so as to	
	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	
	h) You felt inhibi behaviour of oth	•	•	•		n because of the	
	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	
12.	What percentag E4)	e of meet	ing time o	do you th	ink was	spent on serious discussion? (33	3
	□ 0-20%	□ 21-40 ⁹	% □ ⁴	11-60%	□ 61-8	30% □ 81-100%	

Please now return the questionnaire to me using the address label provided. Many thanks for your help.

Appendix 4.3: Validated Instrument Items

With regard to your own participation in the meeting, please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements :

CI	Strongly Agree	mee		g pr			Strongly Disagree
C2	You found it hard to Strongly Agree					er g	group members when they talked. Strongly Disagree
C3	You experienced pro Strongly Agree			expr			•
C4	You felt reluctant to Strongly Agree	put f		⁄ard □	•	ır ov	wn ideas. Strongly Disagree
	th regard to all meetin eting in terms of the t	_					whole, how would you rate the discussions in the
D2	Very meaningful Very appropriate Creative/imaginative approaches used			0		0	Totally meaningless Totally inappropriate Familiar/unimaginative approaches used
E1	To what extent would Strongly Result Orie	•		•			meeting was result oriented? □ □ Weakly Result Oriented
Ple	ase indicate to what	exte	nt y	ou a	agre	e w	with the following statements (E2 & E3):
E2	The time spent in the Strongly Agree			_			ciently used. Strongly Disagree
E3	Issues raised in the Strongly Agree	mee □	_				ussed thoroughly. Strongly Disagree
E4	What percentage of □ 0-20%; □ 21-4		-	•		o yo 60%	ou think was spent on serious discussion? 6 □ 61-80% □ 81-100%
Ple	ase indicate to what	exte	nt y	ou a	agre	e w	with the following statements:
	stures, making threats	s, et	C.				te others, e.g. by talking loudly, using aggressive
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	Some group membe the other group mem			to u	se t	heir	r influence, status or power so as to force issues
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree

	S3 You felt inhibited from participating in the discussion because of the behaviour of other meeting members.									
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree			
	S4 You experienced pressure, either to conform to a particular viewpoint or not to contradict others.									
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree			
T1	Other members appe	eare	d w	illing	g to	ans	wer questions when asked.			
	Strongly Agree				_		Strongly Disagree			
T2	Members worked tog	eth	er a	s a	tear	n.				
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree			
	Members had sufficiently in and fully under						nformation they needed so as to participate			
	Strongly Agree					-				
	With regard to your own participation in the meeting, please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement:									
Cri	Criterion Variable: You feel that you played a useful role. Strongly Agree □ □ □ □ □ Strongly Disagree									

Appendix 5.1: Questionnaire for Resource Planning Task Group

This questionnaire is designed to elicit some information about the processes that occur in meetings of the Resource Planning Task Group. It would be most helpful if you could answer the following questions and bring the completed questionnaire to the June 5th meeting. Thank you.

Part One: Den	nograp	hics and	d Attituc	les					
1 a. Are you	Male		Female	e □ ?	(1)				
2. Would you	describ	e yours	elf as:						
shy apprehensive assertive confident		Agree Agree Agree Agree	<u> </u>	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	_ _ _ _	Disagree (2) Disagree (3) Disagree (4) Disagree (5)	
3a. How many (6)	meeti	ngs of a	t least t	5 people	e have y	you par	ticipated	d in during the	last week?
b. Typically w	/hat pe	rcentag	e of you	ur worki	ng time	do you	spend	in meetings pe	er week? (7)
□ 0-20°	%	□ 21-40	0%	□ 41-6	0%	□ 61-8	80%	□ 81-100%	
4a. To what ex	tent d	o you lik	e meet	ings? (8	3)				
Strongly lik	ке						Strongl	ly dislike	
b. How impor	tant do	you ra	te meet	ings to	be? (9)				
Very Impo	tant						Not at a	all important	
Part Two: Som	ne que	stions al	bout the	e most r	ecent F	Resourc	e Plann	ning Task Grou	p meeting:
5. With regard agree with					he mee	ting, ple	ease inc	dicate to what e	extent you
a) You fee Strongly A	-	ou playe	ed a use	eful role	e. (10, C	R)	Strong	ly Disagree	
<i>b) The lan</i> Strongly A		of the m	neeting _l	prevent □	ted you □	from pa □	•	ing. (11, C1) ly Disagree	
c) You four Strongly A		ard to ur	nderstai □	nd othe	r group □	membe		n they talked. ly Disagree	(12, C2)

	a) You exper	rienced	problem	ıs expre	essing y	ourselt.	•
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	e) You felt reluct	ant to p	ut forwa	ard vou	r own io	leas. (14	4. C4)
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	•	•		ther to	conform	to a pa	articular viewpoint or not
	to contradict othe	•	•				0: 1.5:
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
6.	With regard to all the meeting in te					, how w	rould you rate the discussions in
	Meaningful						Meaningless (16, D1)
	Appropriate						Inappropriate (17, D2)
	Open						Closed (18, D3)
	Imaginative						Unimaginative (19, D4)
7.	Please indicate to		•	J			
	•			lling to a		•	ns when asked. (20, T1)
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	b) Members wor	ked toa	ether as	s a tean	ı (21 T	2)	
	Strongly Agree	nca log			<i>I.</i> (∠1, 1	<i>_</i>)	Strongly Disagree
	ourorigity / igioo	_	_	_	_	_	chiengly bloogree
	c) Members had participate active						ney needed so as to g. (22, T3)
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	d) The time sper		•	•		•	,
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	e) Issues raised	in the n	neetina	were di	SCUSSE	d thoroi	iahly (24 F3)
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	ourorigity / igioo	_	_	_	_	_	chiengly bloogree
	f) Some group maggressive gestu					_	. by talking loudly, using
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	g) Some group r force issues on t						status or power so as to
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
of	h) You felt inhibit other meeting me			_	n the di	scussio	n because of the behaviou
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
8.	What percentage (28, E4)	of meet	ting time	e do yo	u think v	was spe	ent on serious discussion? %

9.	To what extent w	ould yo	ou say	y that t	this m	neetin	g was	s res	sult oriented? (29, E1)
	Strongly Result	Oriente	ed 🗅	□	□		□		Weakly Result Oriented
10	. How would you	rate yo	ur ov	erall le	evel c	of satis	sfacti	on w	vith the meeting? (30)
	Strongly Agree								Strongly Disagree
11	. To what extent	was co	nsen	sus ac	hieve	ed in t	he m	eetir	ng? (31)
	Strongly Achiev	ed	0	□	□				Weakly Achieved
12	. On average, ho	w man	y hou	rs per	day	do yo	u use	а Р	C?(32)
13	. What do you ty	pically ι	use a	PC fo	r?				(33)
									(33)

Please bring the completed questionnaire to the June 5th Meeting of the Task Group. Thank you.

Appendix 6.1: Letter of Invitation to the First FRPT Meeting

Dear Colleague:

Our records indicate that you will be participating in the faculty retreat taking place in Guangzhou 8/9 November. In order to ensure that the retreat is as successful as possible, a planning session is being organised to discuss possible retreat activities. You are cordially invited to participate in this planning session to be held sometime next week, most likely Wednesday afternoon in the IS Department Computer Lab.

We will use electronic meeting support software to facilitate the generation and organisation of ideas for retreat activities. For those of you who are not familiar with electronic meeting support systems, these are tools designed to improve group interaction and group productivity in meetings. The planning session will be coordinated by a meeting leader and a meeting facilitator who will introduce the technology and assist the members of the group in their use of the software.

Since space is limited, please reply by 12:00 Monday (Oct. 7th) to indicate your interest as well as to guarantee your seat in the lab. If you have difficulty attending on Wednesday afternoon, please indicate an alternative time. We will confirm exact time and location of the session by email later on Monday.

Best Wishes
The Organising Committee

Appendix 6.2: Questionnaire for the Faculty Retreat Planning Meeting

Part One: Demographics and Attitudes												
1 a. Are you Male		Femal	e □ ?	(1)								
2. Would you descri	ibe your	self as:										
shy apprehensive assertive confident 3a. How many meet	Agree Agree Agree Agree	0 0	□ □ □ 5 peopl	□ □ □	uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu	c c rticipate	Disagr Disagr Disagr Disagr ed in dur	ee (3) ee (4) ee (5)				
(6)												
b. Typically what p	ercenta	ge of yo	ur work	ing time	e do you	u spend	l in mee	tings per week? (7)				
□ 0-20%	□ 21-4	0%	□ 41-6	60%	□ 61-8	80%	□ 81-10	00%				
4a. To what extent of	do you li	ke meet	tings? (8)								
Strongly like		0		0		Strong	ıly dislik	e				
b. How important of	do you ra	ate mee	tings to	be? (9))							
Very Important		D		D		Not at	all impo	ortant				
Part Two: Some que	estions a	about th	e Facul	ty Retre	eat Plan	nning Se	ession w	ve have just held.				
5. With regard to yo agree with the fo				the mee	eting, pl	ease in	dicate to	o what extent you				
a) You feel that				-	•	01	.h. Dia					
Strongly Agree						Strong	ıly Disaç	gree				
b) The languageStrongly Agree	of the r	neeting	preven □	ted you	from p		ting. (11 Ily Disa					
•	nard to u	ndersta	nd othe	er group	memb	ers whe	en they	talked/typed. (12,				
C2) Strongly Agree		0				Strong	ıly Disaç	gree				

	Strongly Agree	cea prod	oiems e. □	xpressii □	ng your. □	seπ. (13	Strongly Disagree
	e) You felt reluct	ant to p	ut forwa	ard you	r own ia	leas. (14	4, C4)
	Strongly Agree			٥		• `	Strongly Disagree
	f) You experienc to contradict other	-		ther to	conform	i to a pa	articular viewpoint or not
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
6.	With regard to all the meeting in te	_	•			, how w	rould you rate the discussions in
	Meaningful						Meaningless (16, D1)
	Appropriate						Inappropriate (17, D2)
	Open						Closed (18, D3)
	Imaginative						Unimaginative (19, D4)
7.	Please indicate to	what e	xtent yc	u agree	e with th	ne follow	ving statements:
	a) Other membe	rs appe	ared wi	lling to a	answer	questio	ns when asked. (20, T1)
	Strongly Agree	_ · ·				, D	Strongly Disagree
	b) Members work	ked toa	ether as	s a tean	n. (21. T	- 2)	
	Strongly Agree				<i></i> (∠1, 1		Strongly Disagree
	c) Members had participate active Strongly Agree						ney needed so as to g. (22, T3) Strongly Disagree
	Strongly Agree	ш	ш	ш	ш	П	Strongly Disagree
	d) The time sper	nt in the	meetin	g was e	efficientl	y used.	
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	e) Issues raised	in the m	neeting	were di	scusse	d thorou	ıghly. (24, E3)
	Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
	f) Some group maggressive gestu						. by talking loudly, using
		o ´					Strongly Disagree
	g) Some group r force issues on t						status or power so as to
	Strongly Agree				-	_ ´	Strongly Disagree
of	h) You felt inhibitother meeting me			•	n the di	scussio	n because of the behaviou
	Strongly Agree		(<i>□</i> , <i>□</i>	, 			Strongly Disagree
8.	What percentage (28, E4)	of meet	ing time	e do you	u think v	was spe	ent on serious discussion? %

9.	9. To what extent would you say that this meeting was result oriented? (29, E1)												
	Strongly Result O	riente	ed 🗅				0		Weakly Result Oriented				
10	10. How would you rate your overall level of satisfaction with the meeting? (30)												
	Strongly Agree			-		0		0	Strongly Disagree				
11	11. To what extent was consensus achieved in the meeting? (31)												
	Strongly Achieved	b					0		Weakly Achieved				
12	. How comfortable	do yo	u fee	l usin	g the	techr	nolog	y?					
	Very comfortable						0		Very uncomfortable				
13	. To what extend d	id the	techr	nolog	y hind	der/fa	cilitat	e yo	ur participation in this meeting?				
	Strongly hindered	l					0		Strongly facilitated				
13	13a. Why?												
14	14. Do you have any other comments you would like to make?												

Appendix 6.3: Letter of Invitation to the Second FRPT Meeting

Dear Colleagues,

We would like to take this opportunity to thank you all for your interest and participation in the Faculty Retreat Planning Session that took place this Wednesday afternoon.

Having looked over the information that we created, we feel that it would be profitable to continue that session, at the same time making use of some additional features of the GroupSystems software, and also focusing on the overall theme of the retreat and how this theme relates to the individual session topics.

Therefore, we have decided to schedule a second session for the group at the same time and place next week - Wednesday 14:30 in P7903. As before we expect that this meeting will last approximately one hour.

If you were unable to come to the first session, but would like to attend the second session, then we strongly encourage you to do so. If you did come to the first session and would like to continue to participate in this process, then you are equally welcome. We have room for all of you and hope to see you there.

We hope that you can take a few minutes to read through the handouts that we distributed after the last meeting (copies will be sent to those of you who were unable to come to the first meeting very shortly) so as to be able to continue the process easily.

If you intend to come to this second session, would you be so kind as to let us know by replying to this message?

Many thanks,

The Organising Committee

Appendix 8.1: Re-engineering the Billing Process at Stable Loan: The Role of Collaborative Software

Background

Group Support Systems (GSS) are a generic 'software tool' used to support meetings. Developed primarily in the USA over the last 15 years, they have achieved remarkable results with substantial reductions in meeting process time and person-hours spent in meetings, yet improving meeting productivity, meeting participant satisfaction, and sense of ownership with meeting outcomes. Much of the research in this area has been conducted in Western cultures - both organisational and national - and thus the software itself tends to reflect Western norms.

Stable Loan Project

In this research, I am attempting to introduce and adapt GSS to the rather different cultural environment of Hong Kong. I am particularly interested in the way that GSS mediates meeting processes, for example enabling improved participation when different hierarchical levels are represented in a meeting. However, rather than just give a group of people the software and let them get on with it, my role as a facilitator demands that I play a more active role, helping the group to come to terms with the technology and use it effectively so as to realise real improvements in its meetings. In order to improve the facilitation of the meeting, it is useful if meeting participant perceptions of meeting processes can be collected. It is preferable to do this on an ongoing basis, i.e. so that participant perceptions can be examined over time, and so that the facilitation style can be modified to reflect the needs of the group. A questionnaire has been developed to collect these perceptions, but it is also supported by unstructured interviews with participants.

Outcomes/Benefits

There are two key outcomes to this technology supported meeting process:

- A better meeting (with all that that implies in terms of productivity, satisfaction, consensus, ownership, efficiency, ...).
- A better understanding of how to provide technology support for meetings, in this case in Hong Kong.

Software Facilitator and Researcher:

Robert Davison
Dept of Information Systems
City University of Hong Kong

E-mail: isrobert@is.cityu.edu.hk

Tel: 2788-7534: Fax: 2788-8694

Appendix 8.2: Questionnaire for Stable Loan (Initial Version)

Part One: Der	nograp	ohics an	d Attitu	des				
1 a. Are you	Male			Femal	e □ ?	(1)		
2. Would you	descril	be yours	self as:					
shy apprehensive assertive confident		Agree Agree Agree	□□		0		0	Disagree (2) Disagree (3) Disagree (4) Disagree (5)
3 a. How man	y mee	tings of	at least	5 peop	le have	you	ı participat	ed in during the last week?
b. Typically v	what pe	ercentaç	ge of yo	ur work	ing time	e do	you spend	in meetings per week? (7)
□ 0-20	%	□ 21-4	-0%	□ 41-6	60%	- 6	61-80%	□ 81-100%
4a. To what e	xtent d	lo you lil	ke meet	tings? (8	8)			
Strongly like	;						Strongly d	islike
b. How impo	rtant d	o you ra	ite mee	tings to	be? (9))		
Very Import	ant		•		D		Not at all i	mportant
Part Two: Sor	ne que	estions a	about th	is meet	ing.			
5. With regard agree with					the me	etin	g, please i	ndicate to what extent you
a) You feel	that yo	u playe	d a usei	ful role.	(10, CF	₹)		
Strongly Ag	ree						Strong	lly Disagree
<i>b) The lange</i> Strongly Ag		f the me	eeting p	revente	d you fi □	rom _I		ng. (11, C1) Ily Disagree
c) You found (12, C2)	d it har	d to und	derstand	d other	group n	nemi	bers when	they talked
Strongly Ag	ree			0			Strong	lly Disagree
d) You expe Strongly Ag		d proble □	ems exp □	ressing	yourse	elf. (1 □	-	ıly Disagree
e) You felt r Strongly Ag		nt to put	forward	d your o	wn idea	as. (′ □		ıly Disagree

contradict others	•	re, eitn	er to co	oniorm i	o a pan	ticular viewpoint or not to
Strongly Agree	. (10, 01) 			D		Strongly Disagree
6. With regard to a					le, how	would you rate the discussions in
Meaningful						Meaningless (16, D1)
Appropriate	· 🗅					Inappropriate (17, D2)
Open						Closed (18, D3)
Imaginative						Unimaginative (19, D4)
7. Please indicate	to what ex	ktent yo	u agre	e with tl	he follov	wing statements:
•	rs appear	ed willir	ng to ar	nswer q	uestion	s when asked. (20, T1)
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
b) Members work	ked togeth	ner as a	team.	(21, T2)	
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
c) Members had actively in and fully						ey needed so as to participate
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
d) The time spen	nt in the m	eeting	was eff	iciently	used. (2	23, E2)
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
e) Issues raised	in the med	eting w	ere disc	cussed	thoroug	hly. (24, E3)
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
f) Some group m gestures, making t				te othei	rs, e.g. l	by talking loudly, using aggressive
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
g) Some group n issues on the other					ence, sta	atus or power so as to force
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
h) You felt inhibit meeting member	-	-	ating in	the dis	cussion	because of the behaviour of other
Strongly Agree						Strongly Disagree
8. What percentag	e of meet	ing tim	e do yo	ou think	was sp	ent on serious discussion?
% (28, E4)						
9. To what extent v	vould you	say tha	at this r	neeting	was res	sult oriented? (29, E1)
Strongly Result	Oriented					Weakly Result Oriented
10. How would you	ı rate youı	r overal	l level o	of satisf	action v	vith the meeting? (30)
Strongly Satisfi	ed					Strongly Dissatisfied

11.	lo wha	at exten	it was co	onsens	us acl	hiev	ed in th	ne me	eting? (31)			
9	Strongl	y Achie	eved						We	akly Achi	eved		
12. I	How co	omforta	ble do y	ou feel	using	g the	techn	ology?)				
\	/ery C	omforta	able						Ver	y Uncom	fortable	9	
		at exten ly hinde		techno	ology	hind	der/faci □	litate y □	•	rticipatior ongly faci		mee	ting?
13a.	Why?	•											
14.	Do	you	have	any	oth	er	comm	nents	you	would	like	to	make?

Appendix 8.3: Ideal Billing Process - Draft for Discussion at Next Meeting

IDEAL BILLING PROCESS

The ideal Billing Process comprises four stages, namely Initiation, Review, Approval and Finalisation. The tasks to be performed at each stage are detailed below. The parties responsible for performing each of these tasks are identified beforehand. This process is independent of specific implementation considerations.

RESPONSIBILITIES

Under normal circumstances, Audit Engagement Managers are responsible for initiating all Audit bills, including progress billings and final billings of fees and billings of outstanding OPE. In the other divisions such responsibility normally rests with the member of staff in charge of the staff group handling the engagement. Responsibility for the review of Audit bills rests with the Audit Engagement Partners. In the other divisions it rests with the Engagement Managers. There are a few situations in which other parties may initiate and review bills. An Audit Engagement Partner or a non-Audit Engagement Manager may initiate and review a bill directly, provided that the normal initiator is notified of this action by e-mail. Similarly, a non-Audit Engagement Partner may initiate and review a bill provided that the normal initiator and the normal reviewer are notified of this action by e-mail.

Responsibility for bill approval always rests with the Contact Partners. Their secretaries are responsible for bill finalisation.

When absent from the office, all individuals involved in the Billing Process must appoint a single deputy to carry out their billing duties.

INITIATION

On line enquiries and reports are available to provide bill initiators with the information they require regarding work in progress and unbilled OPE. The reports provide current work in progress and unbilled OPE balances by engagement for all engagements under the initiator's authority. It is possible to edit these reports using Excel. On line enquires include an up to date breakdown of total time charges by staff for an engagement as well as a history of work in progress movements for an engagement within a given period. Similarly, there is a breakdown of unbilled OPE by OPE code for an engagement as well as a history of unbilled OPE movements for an engagement within a given period. The results of enquiries may be easily copied into Word or Excel. After appropriately reviewing the available information, the initiator will draft a debit note in the form of a Word document. Drafting consists of the three steps detailed hereafter.

In the first step, an input screen is invoked into which the initiator enters the engagement code, bill currency and the total bill amount. After entering the client

code the client name will be displayed. If the entered engagement code is any way invalid, or it corresponds to an engagement over which the user does not have initiation authority, then the user will be advised accordingly and the input screen will then clear down. The bill currency is selected from a look up list. The total bill amount must be numeric, positive and to two decimal places. Upon successful confirmation of the entered data, a Word document is created complete with all details ultimately to appear on the debit note other then the billing text and a bill number. A record for maintaining supplementary billing details is also created. At this point, the status of the bill is set to new. Any bill at new status may be cancelled by the initiator without notification or approval.

In the second step, the debit note document is edited to insert the billing text and amend any of the other details as required. Standard wordings are available for each division which may be copied and pasted into a new debit note document as required. Alternatively, billing text may be cut from another debit note and pasted into the new one.

In the third step, the initiator edits the record of supplementary billing details as required. Expected details include a split of the total bill amount between fees and OPE (as seen from the firm's perspective) and any necessary additional remarks for the attention of the reviewer and the approver. Upon completion of the above three steps, the initiator updates the status of the bill to drafted.

REVIEW

A user may review any bill at drafted status for which they have review authority over the corresponding engagement. This is done by editing the debit note document as required and amending the record of supplementary billing details accordingly. If the reviewer cancels a bill then the initiator should be advised by email of this action and the reasons for it. Similar advice should be given in the event of a change to the total bill amount. Once satisfied with the drafted bill, the reviewer updates its status to reviewed.

APPROVAL

A Contact Partner may approve any bills raised against his clients which are at reviewed status. This is done by editing the debit note document as required and amending the record of supplementary billing details accordingly. If the Contact Partner cancels a bill then the initiator and the reviewer should be advised by e-mail of this action and the reasons for it. Similar advice should be given in the event of a change to the total bill amount. Once satisfied with the reviewed bill, the Contact Partner updates its status to approved.

FINALISATION

A Partner's secretary should finalise all bills raised against her Partner's clients which are at approved status. This is done for each such bill by first updating the accounts receivable, time management and OPE management databases

simultaneously. A bill number is assigned in doing so. The debit note should be edited to display this bill number. A single copy of the debit note should then be printed on the firm's headed stationery. The bill date is automatically updated upon printing. For a Send Out client the hard copy debit note should then be enveloped together with a payment details enclosure and sent to the mail room for franking and despatch. For an In House client the hard copy debit note should be routed in accordance with the debit note reference. The debit note document should then be immediately archived to an on-line media where it cannot be subsequently modified. Finally, the Partner's secretary updates the status of the bill to finalised. Records of supplementary billing details for finalised bills should be purged periodically. Queries:

- · How should billings across several engagements be handled? Any ideas?
- · Should debit notes be actually signed, have scanned signatures or have no signatures at all? I propose to raise this issue at the next SRG meeting.
- How should In House clients be categorised? And how should each category of In House debit note be routed?

NOTES:

- It is currently the practice in Audit that Engagement Partners initiate billings of outstanding OPE without consulting or notifying the respective Engagement Managers. Engagement Managers will in future initiate such billings.
- Staff groups in Company Secretarial division will need to be restructured to reflect the staff member in charge of each engagement. This proposed change has already been positively received by Company Secretarial Engagement Managers. Some reallocation of engagements may also be required in the Tax and Business Services divisions.
- · Close-outs and write-offs will not be performed as part of the billing process.
- In House clients will need to be categorised in accordance with the routing of debit notes.

Appendix 8.4: Questionnaire for Stable Loan (Revised Version)

1	. Are you	Male			Fema	ale 🗅	? (1)					
2	. Compared	to previ	ous me	etings o	of the	billing	pro	cess re	eview g	roup, de	o you fe	el that:	
	<i>a) The role</i> : More useful		∕ed in tł Stayed		•	, ,		,	Less u	seful	0		
	b) Your abili Improved	ity to pa □	<i>rticipate</i> Stayed						Deterio	orated	0		
	c) Your und Improved	erstand	ing of th Stayed						_	<i>membe</i> orated		2)	
	d) Your abili Improved	ity to ex	<i>press ye</i> Stayed		•	•	ι	٥	Deterio	orated	D		
	e) Your willi Increased	ngness	to put fo Stayed			•	•	۵	Decrea	ased	0		
C	f) The press	-	-	enced,	either	to cor	nforr	n to a μ	particul	ar view _l	point or	not	to
	Increased		Stayed	about	the sa	ame	ſ		Decrea	ased	□		
N A C	Compared discussions leaningful ppropriate pen naginative	•	ved, staj Impi Impi 3) Impi	_	same ; S ; S ; S	e or de tayed tayed tayed	terio abo abo abo	orated	on the same same same	followin :: Dete :: Dete :: Dete		s: d	the
4	. Compared	to previ	ous me	etings o	of the	billing	pro	cess re	eview g	roup, de	o you th	ink that:	
	a) The willin Increased	•	of other Stayed				•		s when Decrea	_)	
	b) The exter Increased	nt to wh	ich mer Stayed			_			eam (13 Decrea	-	-		
а	c) The exter s to participa Increased			nd fully	unde	rstand	the	meetir		T3)	n they	needed	so
	d) The time More efficie		_	was us As effi	-	-		Les	s effici	ently	D		

	e) Ideas were disco More thoroughly	ussed (•) orough	lv n		Less tho	roughly	D	
f lou) The extent to wh udly, using aggress ncreased □	ich son sive ges	ne grou	p mem making	bers tri g threat	ed to	intimidate (17, S1)	e others,		talking
Č	g) The extent to whor power so as to find the second second increased in the second in	orce iss	_	the ot	her gro		embers (1		ce, statu	s
the	n) The extent to whe behaviour of other noreased	er meet		mbers	(19, S3	-	•	he discus eased	ssion	because of
	What percentage % (20, E4)	of this	meetin	g's tim	e do yo	ou thir	nk was s	pent on	serious	discussion?
6.	To what extent wo	uld you	say th	at this	meeting	g was	result or	iented? ((21, E1)	
	Strongly Result O	riented					Weakl	y Result	Oriente	d
7.	How would you ra	te your	overall	level c	of satisfa	action	with this	meeting	ı? (22)	
	Strongly Satisfied	t					Stror	ngly Diss	atisfied	
8.	To what extent wa	s conse	ensus a	chieve	ed in thi	s mee	ting? (23	3)		
	Strongly Achieve	d					Wea	kly Achie	eved	
9.	Compared to pre about using the to More Comfortable	echnolo	•	4)		g prod		iew grou		do you feel
10	. Compared to pre technology facilitated more	vious nated yo	neeting	s of the	e billing n in this	proce	ess revie	w group	do you	
10	a. Why?									
11	. Do you have an	y other	comm	ents y	ou wou	ıld lik	e to mak	e?		

Appendix 8.5: Participation in BPR - Development of a Methodology for BPR Reviews: Using the GSS

Difficulties of GSS and BPR in the Hong Kong context.

Today we are going to do something a bit different. So far we have focused entirely on the billing process review. But this group has a second, equally important, function, which is to review the methodology used in Stable Loan for BPR reviews. Although this is the first such review using a BPR approach, there may well be others in future and we would like to learn from this first experience so as to guide the later work.

I'd like to frame this review in a learning context - we are learning together about the best way to do the BPR. So far we have had the software GSS available though we have not always been using it. Part of this morning's discussion can relate to the suitability or appropriateness of using GSS (or any other software tools) to assist in BPR reviews.

To use the limited amount of time effectively, I would like to use the GSS this morning to help analyse how to do BPR reviews. I would also like you all to make a strong contribution - tell me what you think! If you hate the whole process, please let me know. If the BPR reviews are going to work, they have to be organised properly and since all of you have been involved in this process from the beginning you should have some idea about the processes involved, about how they can work, about improvements that can be made, about things that must be included, and so forth. Do not be afraid to criticise anything we have done so far - because we can learn from this.

I have a number of activities lined up for this morning:

What are the key components and activities of a review? What needs to be done? Then, which tools are most suitable to support these activities? Face-to-face? Online GSS? Email circulation of information? Others?

Identify Critical Success Factors for each activity - what can make an activity fail? Or what is vital to an activity's success?

Structure the activities into steps - start to draw up a framework of activities. Which activities can happen in parallel and which in sequence?

Allocate responsibilities to people so that the activities can be carried out in practice. Which people are most suitable? Those who are already directly involved? Those who will be affected? Outside consultants? People who do not have vested or personal interests and so who can take a more objective view?

Finally, and this may be beyond your existing knowledge:

How should implementation proceed? What barriers to implementation might exist? How should these be tackled?

Appendix 8.6: Team Membership Issues for the Reviewing Process

Ideally, the team members should consist of:

- 1 A mix of management from different departments.
- 2 Volunteers who are interested in the review process and can spare the time to input ideas.
- 3 Practical persons who are aware of the real life situation and can contribute to generate realistic solutions.
- 4 Some fresh staff who can input fresh ideas and are not used to the old systems.
- 5 A group leader who is unbiased and who can facilitate the discussion.

Appendix 8.7: Process Review Steps

- I. Identify current system deficiencies and inefficiencies
 - A. In-person (report directly to the IT committee members)
 - B. Using Group System
 - C. Using a suggestion box
- II. Analyse ALL suggestions (to be completed by the IT committee members)
- III. Consolidate suggestions into projects (to be completed by the IT committee members)
- IV. Set priorities for the projects
 - A. Departmental to be done by the corresponding department
 - B. Multi-departmental to be done by the IT committee
- V. Assign each project to co-ordinator(s)
 - A. Departmental at least one co-ordinator from the corresponding department will be selected/nominated to co-ordinate the project
 - B. Multi-departmental at least one co-ordinator from each department is to selected/nominated to co-ordinate the project. Also, one of the co-ordinator is to be designated as the chief co-ordinator for the project.
- VI. Prepare proposal with detailed implementation procedures by the project coordinator(s)
- VII. Review, modify if necessary and approve proposal by the IT committee
- VIII. Implementation (to be carried out by the corresponding project coordinators(s)
- IX. Evaluation (to be done jointly by the IT committee members and the project co-ordinators)

Appendix 8.8: Billing Process Solutions

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to set out, in practical terms, how the firm should migrate from its existing billing process to that which is specified in the document entitled 'Ideal Billing Process – Overview'. Detailed program specifications and sample reports can be found in the appendices to this document.

This document comprises six sections. First, this section is concerned with introducing the migration strategy and an overview of the ideal solution. One section is devoted to each of the four stages of the ideal billing process. A section on the enquiries, which provide the information required prior to bill initiation, precedes these sections. Each of the subsequent sections follows the same format, with a sub-section relating to each solution.

Migration

Migration should take place in three phases. The final solution will be a fully integrated software application, which accords in full with the ideal process described in the above-mentioned document. However, interim solutions are considered to be necessary to most effectively achieve the final solution. Interim solutions will be provided in two phases. In the first phase, all reasonable actions will be taken that do not involve replacing or modifying the existing Practice Management System (PMS) software. Additionally, departmental billing procedures will be standardised across the firm during the first phase. In the second phase, the PMS will be modified but not replaced. As the interim solutions are adopted, the actual billing process will move progressively towards the ideal one.

Estimated time frames for the three phases are one month, four months and one year respectively, subsequent to approval of this document by the Strategy Review Group (SRG).

Introduction to the Ideal Solution

The ideal physical implementation for the billing process is a fully integrated Billing application running under Windows 95, which is interfaced with the applications used for the management of time, expenses and accounts receivable. The Billing application will be Windows-based and will be fully integrated with Office 97.

On accessing the Billing application the following options will be displayed:

- Enquiry;
- Initiation;
- Review;
- Approval;
- Finalisation:
- Administration.

It should be noted that this document does not cover the Administration option in any detail, as its functionality is not a matter of concern to general users.

Only those options for which the user has authority will be highlighted as available. Enquiry and Initiation will be available to all users. Review will only be available to Partners and Engagement Managers. Approval will only be available to Partners and Finalisation only to Partners' secretaries. Only the EDP Supervisor, the IT Services Manager and the Chief Information Officer will have access to the Administration option, which is used for setting user access rights, database purging and direct database manipulation.

Enquiry

Short Term Interim Solution

As previously mentioned, in this phase all reasonable actions will be taken that do not involve replacing or modifying the existing Practice Management System (PMS) software. Such actions include the standardisation of billing procedures throughout the firm.

To assist with the review of work in progress and unbilled expenses, the following reports will be made available to all users across the network in the form of Excel spreadsheets:

- Work In Progress & Unbilled Expenses Summary By Engagement;
- Accumulated Time Charge Breakdown By Engagement & Staff Member;
- Unbilled Expenses Breakdown By Engagement & Expense Type.

The above reports will be saved in *f:\shared\engage\live* and will be updated on a daily basis. The file names used will be *wip_exp.xls*, *time_stf.xls* and *unb_exp.xls* respectively. Once the firm has been completely upgraded to Office 97, the file names will be changed to those given above. Filters will be automatically provided. A text string search utility will also be provided.

The Work In Progress & Unbilled Expenses Summary By Engagement report will comprise the following columns:

- Client Name;
- Client Code;
- Division;
- Job Number:
- Accumulated Time Charges;
- Accumulated Billed Fees;
- Unbilled Time Charges;
- Fee Estimate:
- Total Unbilled Expenses;
- Staff Group Initials;
- Engagement Manager Initials;
- Engagement Partner Initials:
- Contact Partner Initials:
- Billable Flag;
- Status:
- Source Of Referral.

All active engagements will be listed, together with those in suspense. A sample report is given as Appendix 1.

The Work In Progress Breakdown By Engagement & Staff Member report will comprise the following columns:

- Client Name:
- Client Code;
- Division;
- Job Number:
- Staff Name:
- Staff Code:
- Accumulated Time Charges.

Data will only be provided in respect of non-zero accumulated time charges. A sample report is given as Appendix 2. In order that the data provided is meaningful, billed fees should be regularly closed out in full: once this has been done, the unbilled time charges will equal the accumulated time charges. This practice will also yield the benefit of enabling the provision of accumulated time charge recovery information on an on-going basis throughout the year.

The Unbilled Expenses Breakdown By Engagement & Expenses Type report will comprise the following columns:

- Client Name;
- Client Code:
- Division:
- Job Number:
- Expense Description;
- Expense Code;
- Unbilled Amount.

Data will only be provided in respect of non-zero unbilled amount. A sample report is given as Appendix 3.

Medium Term Interim Solution

In this phase all reasonable actions will be taken that involve modifying, but not replacing, the existing Practice Management System (PMS) software.

To further assist with the review of work in progress and unbilled expenses, the following additional reports, in the form of Excel spreadsheets, will be made available to all users across the network:

- Work In Progress Movement History By Engagement;
- Accumulated Time Charge Movement History By Engagement & Staff Member;
- Unbilled Expenses Movement History By Engagement.

The above reports will be saved in *f:\shared\engage\live* and will be updated on a daily basis. The file names used will be *wip_mvt.xls*, *stf_mvt.xls* and *exp_mvt.xls* respectively. Once the firm has been completely upgraded to Office 97, the file names will be changed to those given above. Filters will be automatically provided.

The Work In Progress Movement History By Engagement report will comprise the following columns:

- Client Name;
- Client Code;
- Division:
- Job Number;
- Date;
- Time:
- Movement Type;
- Movement Amount;
- Work In Progress Balance.

Due to the volume of data involved separate reports will be provided for each month and division. A sample report layout is given as Appendix 4. Movement types include Incur, Bill, Close Out Time Charges, Close Out Billed Fees, Transfer In Time Charges, Transfer Out Time Charges, Transfer In Billed Fees, Transfer Out Billed Fees.

The Accumulated Time Charge Movement History By Engagement & Staff Member report will comprise the following columns:

- Client Name;
- Client Code:
- Division;
- Job Number;
- Staff Name:
- Staff Code:
- · Date;
- Time:
- Movement Type;
- Movement Amount;
- Staff Balance:
- Total Balance.

Due to the volume of data involved separate reports will be provided for each month. A sample report layout is given as Appendix 5. Movement types include Incur, Close Out, Transfer In and Transfer Out.

The **Unbilled Expenses Movement History By Engagement** report will comprise the following columns:

- Client Name;
- Client Code;
- Division:
- Job Number;
- Expense Description;
- Expense Code;
- Date:
- Time:
- Movement Type;
- Movement Amount;
- Expense Type Balance;

Total Balance.

Due to the volume of data involved separate reports will be provided for each month and division. A sample report layout is given as Appendix 6. Movement types include Incur, Bill, Write Off, Reinstate, Transfer In and Transfer Out.

Long Term Ideal Solution

On entering the Enquiry option, a selection screen will be displayed into which various selection criteria may be entered such as client code, division, job number, Staff Group initials, Engagement Manager initials, Engagement Partner initials, Contact Partner initials, billable flag and status. Any combination of these criteria may be specified. On acceptance, a list of engagements satisfying the entered criteria will be displayed. For each engagement the client name, client code, division, job number, accumulated time charges, accumulated billed fees, unbilled time charges, fee estimate, total unbilled expenses, Staff Group initials, Engagement Manager initials, Engagement Partner initials, Contact Partner initials, billable flag and status will be given. The user may then highlight a single engagement of interest and press the right hand mouse button to reveal to window listing the following set of more detailed enquiry options:

- Accumulated Time Charge Breakdown By Staff Member;
- Unbilled Expenses Breakdown By Expense Type;
- Work In Progress Movement History;
- Accumulated Time Charge Movement History By Engagement & Staff Member;
- Unbilled Expenses Movement History;
- Bill Status By Invoice.

Appendix 10.1: Revised Instrument (Absolute Version)

Part One: Demograp	ohics and	d Attitud	des				
1 a. Are you Male			Femal	e 🗅 ?	(1)		
2. Would you descril	be yours	elf as:					
shy apprehensive assertive confident	Agree Agree Agree Agree	_ _	0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0	Disagree (2) Disagree (3) Disagree (4) Disagree (5)
3a. How many meet(6)	ings of a	at least	5 peop	le have	you	participate	ed in during the last week?
b. Typically what pe	ercentag	e of yo	ur work	ing time	e do j	you spend	in meetings per week? (7)
□ 0-20%	□ 21-4	0%	□ 41-6	60%	- 6	1-80%	□ 81-100%
4a. To what extent d	lo you lik	ke meet	tings? (8)			
Strongly like		-	0			Strongly d	islike
b. How important d	o you ra	te mee	tings to	be? (9))		
Very Important						Not at all i	mportant
Part Two: Some que	estions a	bout th	is meet	ing.			
5. With regard to yo agree with the fo				the me	etinç	g, please ii	ndicate to what extent you
a) You feel that yo	u played	d a usei	ful role.	(10, CF	R1)		
Strongly Agree						Strong	ly Disagree
<i>b) The language o</i> Strongly Agree	f the me □	eting p	revente	ed you fi	rom µ □	-	<i>g.</i> (11, C1) ly Disagree
c) You found it har (12, C2)	rd to und	lerstand	d other	group n	nemb	ers when	they talked
Strongly Agree			0			Strong	ly Disagree
d) You experience Strongly Agree	d proble □	ms exp □	ressing	yourse	elf. (1	•	ly Disagree
e) You felt reluctar Strongly Agree	nt to put	forward	d your c	own idea	as. (1	-	ly Disagree

	f) You experienced contradict others. (*	-	either t	o cont	orm to a	a partic	cular viewpoint or not	to
	Strongly Agree `					1 \$	Strongly Disagree	
6.	With regard to all the meeting in ter					how v	vould you rate the dis	scussions in
	Meaningful	o o				ı I	Meaningless (16, D	1)
	Appropriate						Inappropriate (17, D	2)
	Open						Closed (18, D	,
	Imaginative]	Unimaginative (19, D	4)
	Please indicate to a) Other members						ng statements: when asked. (20, T1))
	Strongly Agree	<u> </u>			-		Strongly Disagree	
	b) Members worke	d together	as a tea	am. (2 ⁻	1, T2)			
	Strongly Agree	0 0		0		1 ;	Strongly Disagree	
a	c) Members had su ctively in and fully u)		participate
	Strongly Agree					1	Strongly Disagree	
	<i>d) The time spent il</i> Strongly Agree	n the mee	ting was □	efficie	•	•	s, E2) Strongly Disagree	
	e) Issues raised in	the meetir	ng were	discus	ssed tha	oroughi	ly. (24, E3)	
	Strongly Agree					1 ;	Strongly Disagree	
	f) Some group men estures, making thre			nidate	others,	e.g. by	talking loudly, using	aggressive
•	Strongly Agree					1 \$	Strongly Disagree	
	g) Some group me sues on the other g					e, stati	us or power so as to	force
	Strongly Agree					1 ;	Strongly Disagree	
	h) You felt inhibited meeting members.	-	ticipatin	g in the	e discus	ssion b	ecause of the behav	iour of other
	Strongly Agree			0		1 ;	Strongly Disagree	
8.	What percentage	of meeting	time d	o you	think wa	as spe	nt on serious discus	sion?
	% (28, E4)							
9.	To what extent wo	uld you sa	y that th	nis me	eting wa	as resu	ılt oriented? (29, E1)	
	Strongly Result C	riented 🗅			0 0	ı '	Weakly Result Orient	ted
10). How would you ra	ate your o	erall le	vel of s	satisfac	tion wit	th the meeting? (30,	CR2)
	Strongly Satisfied	l o	0	0	0 0	1 ;	Strongly Dissatisfied	

11. To what extent was consensus achieved in the meeting? (31, CR3)

Strongly Achieved						Weakly Achieved				
12. How comfortable do you	ı feel	using	the t	echno	ology?	? (Tech 1)				
Very Comfortable						Very Uncomfortable				
13. To what extent did the technology hinder/facilitate your participation in this meeting? (Tech 2)										
Strongly Hindered						Strongly Facilitated				
13a. Why?										
14. Do you have any other of	omm	ents	you w	vould	like to	make?				

Appendix 10.2: Revised Instrument (Relative Version)

1 . Are you	Male			Femal	e □ ?	(1)				
2. Would you	describ	e yours	self as:							
shy apprehensive assertive confident)	Agree Agree Agree Agree	00	0	0		0	Disagr Disagr Disagr Disagr	ree (3) ree (4)	
3a. How man (6)	-	ngs of a	at least	5 peop	le have	you	ı participate	ed in du	uring the last v	week?
b. Typically	what pe	ercentag	ge of yo	ur work	ing time	e do	you spend	in mee	tings per wee	k? (7)
□ 0-20)%	□ 21-4	0%	□ 41-6	60%	₋ 6	61-80%	□ 81-1	00%	
4a. To what ε	extent de	o you lil	ke meet	ings? (8	3)					
Strongly like	Э					□	Strongly d	islike		
b. How impo	ortant do	o you ra	ite mee	tings to	be? (9))				
Very Import	ant				D		Not at all i	mporta	nt	
5. Compared	to prev	ious me	etings	of this to	eam, do	o yo	u feel that:			
<i>a) The role</i> More usefu	•	-	<i>his mee</i> d about	•	•	R1)	Less u	ıseful	D	
b) Your abii Improved	lity to pa	-	e <i>in the</i> d about		• .	1)	Deterio	orated		
c) Your und Improved	lerstand	•	<i>he type</i> d about			om d	other group Deterio		ers (4, C2)	
d) Your abii Improved	lity to ex		<i>ourself</i> d about	,	ne	-	Deterio	orated	D	
e) Your will Increased	ingness	•	<i>forward</i> d about	•	. ,	-	Decrea	ased	D	
f) The press				either t	o confo	rm t	o a particul	lar view	point or	
Increased		Stayed	d about	the sar	ne		Decrea	ased		

6. Compared to stayed the same	•	_			feel the discus	sions	improved,
Appropriate (Openness (1	9, D2) Impro 0, D3) Impro	ved □; Staved □; Sta	ayed abou ayed abou	ut the sar ut the sar	me =; Deterior me =; Deterior me =; Deterior me =; Deterio	ated ated	
7. Compared to	previous me	etings of t	his team,	do you tl	hink that:		
a) The willing Increased		members		er questio □	ns when asked Decreased	d (12, T1 □)
b) The extent Increased		mbers word about the	_	her as a □	team (13, T2) Decreased	0	
c) The extent as to participate Increased	e actively in a		nderstand		to the informati ting (14, T3) Decreased	on they	needed so
d) The time ir More efficient	_	was used As efficie		Le	ess efficiently	0	
e) Ideas were More thoroug		16, E3) As thorou	ughly 🗅	Le	ess thoroughly	0	
f) The extent loudly, using ag					imidate others, 7, S1)	e.g. by	talking
Increased c	-	d about the	-		Decreased		
g) The extent or power so a		• .			se their influend bers (18, S2)	ce, statu	S
Increased c	Stayed	d about the	e same		Decreased		
h) The extent the behaviour o	•		•	•	ing in the discu	ssion	because of
Increased c		d about the		_	Decreased		
8. What percer % (20,	•	meeting's	time do y	ou think	was spent on	serious	discussion?
9. To what exte	nt would you	say that th	his meetir	ng was re	sult oriented?	(21, E1)	
Strongly Res	sult Oriented	0 0		0	Weakly Resu	ılt Orient	:ed
10. How would	you rate you	r overall le	vel of sati	sfaction	with this meetir	ng? (22,	CR2)
Strongly Sa	tisfied		0 0	0	Strongly Diss	atisfied	
11. To what ext	ent was cons	sensus act	nieved in t	this meet	ing? (23, CR3)		
Strongly Ac	hieved	0 0	0 0	_	Weakly Achie	eved	

12. Compared to previous meetings of this team, how do you feel about using the technology? (24, Tech 1)
More comfortable □ As comfortable □ Less comfortable □
13. Compared to previous meetings of this team do you feel that the technology facilitated your participation in this meeting more or less? (25, Tech 2)
Facilitated more □ No difference □ Facilitated less □
13a. Why?
14. Do you have any other comments you would like to make?